Evaluation on Input Characteristics of MIMO Antenna for Mobile Terminal Gripped by Human Hand

Takumi Hiraoka¹, Kazuyuki Saito¹, Masaharu Takahashi¹, Koichi Ito¹
Yoshiaki Amano², Masayuki Nakano², and Hiroyasu Ishikawa²
¹Graduate School of Engineering, Chiba University
1-33 Yayoi-cho, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, JAPAN, kahunniyouchui@graduate.chiba-u.jp
²KDDI R&D Laboratories Inc.
2-1-15 Ohara, Fujimino-shi, Saitama 356-8502, JAPAN

1. Introduction

In recent years, next generation systems have been actively studied to realize high speed and capacity data communication within mobile environments. MIMO (Multiple-Input Multiple-Output) systems are well known as a transmission technology that improves the quality of wireless links and enhances the system capacity within the limited frequency band. This is because these systems with multiple antennas on the transmitter and receiver can perform parallel transmission at the same time and frequency by taking advantage of multi-path environment [1, 2]. In general, the characteristics of the antenna are influenced by a body of user. Recent studies showed that the presence of the hand in vicinity of mobile phones has more effects on the antenna performances rather than head [3, 4]. In the actual situations, the characteristics of MIMO antennas are also easily affected by the human hand [5]. However, relatively few studies have taken into account the influence of the human hand for evaluating the performance of MIMO antennas.

In this report, the interaction between IFA (Inverted F antenna) elements of a MIMO antenna for a MIMO terminal and a human hand is investigated by using an FDTD (Finite Difference Time Domain) calculation and measurement. The input characteristics which are reflection coefficients of the MIMO antenna gripped by hand model are calculated and measured when changing the distance between MIMO antenna and the human hand model.

2. MIMO antenna gripped by the human hand model

Figures 1 show the MIMO antenna model with acrylic case gripped by human hand on the assumption of practical use condition. In the evaluations, the reflection coefficients $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ of the IFA elements gripped by human hand model are calculated and measured when the distance $d$ from the up edge of the ground plane to the human hand model changes to 0, 17.5, and 35 mm.
2.1 Antenna configuration

Figure 2 shows the configuration of 2-branch MIMO antenna consisting of IFA elements for a mobile terminal. The IFA elements are designed to resonate at 2.0 GHz. The two IFA elements are mounted adjacent to the left edge of a rectangular ground plane of size 115 × 45 mm². The length and diameter of the IFA elements is 29 and 0.4 mm, respectively, where the length is corresponding to quarter-wavelength in 2.0 GHz. The distance between the short and feeding pins is 3 mm. IFA elements are arranged in parallel rows and the feeding point adjusted appropriately in order to match the input impedance to 50 Ω. The distance between two antennas is 45 mm; corresponding to 0.3-wavelength 2.0 GHz [6]. The whole antenna is in an acrylic case (thickness : 1.0 mm, electrical properties are \( \varepsilon_r = 2.1, \sigma = 0.0 \) S/m).

![Figure 2: Configuration of 2-branch MIMO antenna consisting of IFA elements.](image)

2.2 Human hand model

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the human hand model are equivalent to 2/3 times those of the real human muscle in 2.0 GHz, where \( \varepsilon_r = 36.0, \) and \( \sigma = 1.0 \) S/m, respectively [7]. In the calculation, the human hand model was created by employing the Poser® software [8]. The width of the hand is 80 mm. Then, it was converted to 0.5-mm resolution volume data and imported into the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) program.

Human hand phantom has developed by authors [9]. As a first step of fabrication, we grounded the 2/3-muscle solid phantom into like a clay. Then, we packed the ground 2/3-muscle solid phantom in a latex glove. As for the dimension of the glove, its length of each finger from thumb to little finger is 50.0, 70.0, 85.0, 75.0 and 55.0 mm, respectively. The thickness of the rubber is 0.06 mm. The hand phantom incorporates plastic joints to achieve the flexibility of the fingers and the palm. The target values of the dielectric properties are set to be 2/3-muscle equivalent at 2.0 GHz. Table 1 shows the composition of the 2/3-muscle equivalent solid phantom employed in this research [10]. The measured electrical properties of the 2/3-muscle model are shown in Figure 3. According to Figure 3, the electrical properties of the fabricated phantom are \( \varepsilon_r = 36.0 \) and \( \sigma = 1.04 \) S/m. The target value of electrical properties of the phantom are \( \varepsilon_r = 36.0 \) and \( \sigma = 1.00 \) S/m. It is clear that the measured relative permittivity and conductivity are within ± 5 % difference of the target values. There is no influence on the antenna performances with such different errors.

![Figure 3: Electrical Properties of 2/3-muscle model.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Amount [g]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deionized Water</td>
<td>3,375.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar</td>
<td>104.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene Powder</td>
<td>1,012.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Chloride</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX-151</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehydoroacetic Acid Sodium Salt</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Composition of the 2/3-muscle model.
3. Analytical method and results

Figures 4 (a) and (b) show the calculated reflection coefficient of the IFA element #1 and #2 of the MIMO antenna, $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$, gripped by the human hand model, respectively. As the hand model locates so close to the antenna, that the both resonant frequencies of IFA element shift to low frequency and the reflection coefficients $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ at the resonant frequency are degraded. As the distance $d$ becomes smaller, the resonant frequency of the IFA element #1 got lower, but the resonant frequency of the IFA element #2 got lower except when the $d$ is 17.5 mm. About the reason why $S_{11}$ is different from $S_{22}$, we consider that an affected finger is different from IFA element #1 with IFA element #2. Figure 5 shows the change of resonant frequency by the distance from the up edge of the ground plane to the human hand model. According to figure 5, it’s also confirmed an affected hand model is different from IFA element #1 with IFA element #2.

![Figure 4: Reflection coefficient when the distance between the antenna and the hand model changes.](image)

![Figure 5: Resonant frequency when the distance between the antenna and hand model changes.](image)

4. Measurement model and results

In order to verify the analytical method, the measurement of the developed MIMO antenna and hand phantom [8] was carried out. The shape of hand phantom is uniformed the human hand model. Figure 6 shows the measured reflection coefficient $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ of the IFA gripped by the developed hand phantom. It is compared measurement result of S-parameter of the antenna gripped by developed hand phantom and calculated result. As a result, good agreement is observed between the measured and calculated results of both of the reflection coefficients.
4. Conclusion

In this report, the interaction between the MIMO antenna and the human hand was investigated and the influence of the antenna characteristics was evaluated by calculation and measurement when the antenna was gripped by the human hand model. As a result, MIMO antenna input characteristics are influenced by human hand. Moreover, the influence of the antenna characteristics by human hand is varied by human hand is positioned.

As a further study, channel capacity of MIMO antenna close to human hand model including radio propagation will be evaluated in the near future.
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